UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,922	01/06/2006	Kristina Ortubai Balanzategui	15053.0007USWO	9559
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 08/27/2007 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/542,922	ORTUBAI BALANZATEGUI, KRISTINA		
omoc Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	· ·			
Attachment(s)		•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/05.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/542,922

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miller et. AI (GB2185894 A). Claims 1,2,4: Miller teaches a game board with square base with a plurality of independent levels rotatably mounted on a central shaft (5,Fig.10a,b). Miller demonstrates ample examples and possibilities of shapes, levels and number of

apertures per level.

Applicant may argue that Miller does not teach number of recess increasing from only one on the top to eleven at the lowest level.

Miller clearly demonstrates such variations being personal preferences to attract potential players. In order to make the game attractive to potential players it would have been obvious to create variations to suit preference.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,922

Art Unit: 3711

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested modifying game board structure to include claimed variation.

4. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Rogers (GB 284536 A).

Miller teaches all limitations except that it does not teach hollow box under the structure.

Rogers teaches hollow box under the game as in a drawer configuration.

It is well known in the art area to provide storage area to secure game pieces supplied with the game. In order to secure game pieces it would have been obvious to modify game structure to include storage box.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested providing storage box under the game structure.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 5494292, 3030112,.

.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**VKM** May 8, 2007 Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711